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#### Abstract

As a basis for tactile display, it is important to understand the mechanism of tactile sensation. So, we analyze elastic waves inside a finger in tactile exploration with a two-layered, half-infinite elastic finger model, and derive a mapping from shapes of objects to displacements of mechanoreceptors. It is shown that the mapping from displacements of the finger surface to displacements of mechanoreceptors is a one-to-one mapping. Therefore, it is necessary to create the same displacements of the finger surface as in actual exploration for tactile virtual reality $(V R)$. However, the mapping from shapes of objects to displacements of the finger surface is shown to be a many-to-one mapping because of the mechanical property of skin. So, we use the envelope of the amplitude-modulated Lamb wave on an elastic plate as an alternative shape to the real object, because its shape is easily controlled. The generation of the wave is confirmed with an experimental device of silicon rubber vibrated by voice coils.


## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this study is the development of a tactile display, which provides the feel of roughness, ruggedness, or small surface textures of objects in tactile exploration. Many tactile displays using variable actuators have been proposed [1]-[4]. However, physical phenomena of tactile sensation have not been adequately analyzed, therefore it is difficult to predict physical states inside the finger, and tactile sensation as a result, which are evoked by some stimulus generated by the display. The study of the mechanism of tactile sensation is important because it delineates what should be displayed for tactile VR.

Static skin deformations are analyzed in detail with the elastic finger model.[5] [6] However, dynamic phenomena should also be investigated because tactile sensation is obtained by active exploration. Indeed, a tactile exploration gives a spatio-temporal displacement, or stress distribution on a finger surface, which
generates elastic waves inside the finger. Mechanoreceptors embedded in the upper layer of the finger detect the quantity of the wave, and as a result, they are activated and transmit signals to the brain. This is a sketch of how tactile sensation occurs, thus elastic waves in the finger are essential for tactile sensation. In this paper we analyze the elastic waves inside the finger using a two-layered, elastic half space model of the finger.

Now, let us consider that tactile sensation is obtained because a physical state of the outside world is 'mapped' on a physical state of the mechanoreceptors. Concretely, the state of the outside world is a geometric shape of an object, and the state of mechanoreceptors is a set of their displacements. Then, the expression of the mapping from the shapes of the objects to the displacements of the mechanoreceptors can be derived by the analysis with the elastic finger model.

Here, it is a problem for VR whether the mapping is one-to-one or many-to-one, because a many-to-one mapping can produce the same sensation from two different shapes of the objects. (Fig.1) Although generating an arbitrary shape instantly is very difficult, the possibility exists that the real sensation is evoked by the substitute shape which is created more easily than the real shape, if the mapping is many-to-one.

A brief overview of this paper is as follows. First, in the analysis with the two-layered elastic half space model, the mapping $F$ from the displacements of the skin surface to displacements of mechanoreceptor is derived. It is shown that $F$ is one-to-one because of the characteristic structure of the finger in section 2. Second, the mapping $C$ from shapes of objects to the displacements of the skin surface is considered, and shown to be many-to-one because of the mechanical response character of the skin (section 3). Then, we suggest a tactile display using the many-to-one property of the mapping. The essense of the method is that deformation of the finger surface in touching the amplitude-modulated elastic wave is the envelope of


Figure 1: VR by Many-to-one mapping. $C$ is determined by contact between the object and the skin.
$F$ is determined by the elastic media in the finger.
$T$, which is a composite mapping of $C$ and $F$, represents the total tactile operation.
the wave. Because a wave length and a travelling velocity of the envelope are controllable, the arbitrary displacement of the finger surface, and the arbitrary displacements of the mechanoreceptors as a result, can be formed. The experimental device with which the basic phenomena of the above principle can be confirmed is described in section 4.

## 2 Analysis of tactile sensation

### 2.1 Two-layered elastic half-space model of the finger

Mechanics of tactile sensation have been analyzed with models of an elastic media of a finger to predice the neural responses evoked by the stimuli applied to a skin surface. [5] used a homogeneous, isotropic, incompressible, linearly elastic half-space model of a finger. This simple and idealized model predicted SAI response profiles well, but it treated only static stimuli. [6] also analyzed static problems with more detailed models composed of linear elastic media of variable shapes by finite element calculations.

Dynamic mechanical properties of the skin has been studied primalily for medical modives.[7]-[11] However, the most detailed analysis with a layered elastic model of the finger in [11] has focused only on SH waves which vibrate parallel to the skin surface. The more general analysis dealing with deformations parallel and perpendicular to the skin is required for the phenomenon of tactile sensation.

So, in this paper, a two-dimensional problem is discussed with the two-layered, elastic half-space finger model shown in Fig.2. We set $x$-axis parallel to the skin surface, and $z$-axis perpendicular to the skin surface. The plane, $z=0$, is the boundary between the first(upper) and the second(lower) layers. The first layer represents epidermis and dermis with a depth of $H$, and lays in the region $0<z<H$. The second layer represents the subcutaneous tissue and lays in the region $z<0$. Both layers are assumed to be homogeneous, isotropic, linearly elastic media, and have different elastic constants respectively.


Figure 2: Two-layered half-infinite elastic model of the finger.

When tactile exploration is conducted, twodirectional ( $x$ - directional and $z$ - directional) displacements, or stresses, are given on the finger surface as a boundary condition. Because stresses and displacements at $z=H$ can be transformed to each other, we take boundary conditions of displacements here.

The following notation is used:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u_{i}, w_{i}\right) & : \text { Displacement in the i-th layer }(i=1,2) \\
\left(u_{H}, w_{H}\right) & =\left.\left(u_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right|_{z=H} \\
\left(u_{z}, w_{z}\right) & =\left.\left(u_{1}, w_{1}\right)\right|_{z=z} \\
\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i} & : \text { Lame's Constants } \\
\mu_{i} & : \text { Rigidity } \\
\rho_{i} & : \text { Density } \\
\alpha_{i} & : \text { P-wave velocity } \\
\beta_{i} & : \text { S-wave velocity }
\end{aligned}
$$

The problems dealt with in section 2 are as follows:
First, a dirivation of the mapping $F$ from $\left(u_{H}, w_{H}\right)$ to $\left(u_{z}, w_{z}\right)$. In other words, we express the displacements of the mechanoreceptors in terms of the displacements of the skin surface. The result is (26) in section 2.2. By using this result, an amplitude distribution inside the finger during the exploration of a sinusoidal shape is shown in section 2.3.

Second, a discussion of whether $F$ is one-to-one or many-to-one. (section 2.4) The result is one-to-one because of the characteristic structure of the fingerthe upper layer being stiffer than the lower layer; $\mu_{1}>$ $\mu_{2}$.

### 2.2 Derivation of the mapping $F$

### 2.2.1 Basic equations

Displacement potentials in the i-th layer: $\left(\phi_{i}, \psi_{i}\right)$, which have a relation with $\left(u_{i}, w_{i}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=\frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial z}, \quad w_{i}=\frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfy the wave equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}=\nabla^{2} \phi_{i}, \quad \frac{1}{\beta_{i}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}=\nabla^{2} \psi_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (2) is assumed as follows:[12] (Here, $\mathrm{e}^{j(k x+\omega t)}$ is excluded.)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}=\left(P \cosh r_{1} z+Q \sinh r_{1} z\right) & \phi_{2}=P^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{r}_{2} Z} \\
\psi_{1}=\left(A \cosh s_{1} z+B \sinh s_{1} z\right) & \psi_{2}=A^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{s}_{2} Z} \\
r_{i}=\sqrt{k^{2}-k_{\alpha_{i}}^{2}} s_{i}=\sqrt{k^{2}-k_{\beta_{i}}^{2}} & k_{\alpha_{i}}=\frac{\omega}{\alpha_{i}} k_{\beta_{i}}=\frac{\omega}{\beta_{i}} \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

If unknown coefficients $P, Q, A, B, P^{\prime}, A^{\prime}$ in (3),(4) can be expressed by $\left(u_{H}, w_{H}\right)$, we substitute them into (3), (4) and (1), so that we obtain the expression $\left(u_{z}, w_{z}\right)$ in terms of $\left(u_{H}, w_{H}\right)$.

### 2.2.2 Boundary conditons

The solution assumed in (3),(4) should be subject to the following six boundary conditions.

First, the continuity conditions of stress and displacement at $z=0$.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\bullet & \left(T_{z z}\right)_{1} & =\left(T_{z z}\right)_{2} \quad \text { at } z=0 \\
\bullet & \left(T_{z x}\right)_{1} & =\left(T_{z x}\right)_{2} \quad \text { at } z=0 \\
\bullet & & u_{1} & =u_{2} \quad \text { at } z=0 \\
\bullet & & w_{1} & =w_{2} \quad \text { at } z=0 \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

Next, the displacement boundary conditions at $z=$ $H$ in tactile exploration.

- $\left(u_{1}\right)_{z=H}=u_{H}=u_{H}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{j(k x+\omega t)} \quad$ at $z=H$
- $\left(w_{1}\right)_{z=H}=w_{H}=w_{H}^{*} \mathrm{e}^{j(k x+\omega t)} \quad$ at $z=H$
(6) and (8) reduce to the expression of $P, B$ in terms of $P^{\prime}, A^{\prime}$ as

$$
\binom{P}{B}=\frac{k^{2}}{k_{\beta_{1}}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & \frac{s_{2}}{k} W  \tag{12}\\
\frac{k}{s_{1}} Z & \frac{s_{2}}{s_{1}} Y
\end{array}\right)\binom{P^{\prime}}{A^{\prime}}
$$

In the same way, $Q, A$ are expressed by $P^{\prime}, A^{\prime}$ from (7), (9), as

$$
\binom{Q}{A}=\frac{k^{2}}{k_{\beta_{1}}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}} Y & \frac{k}{r_{1}} Z  \tag{13}\\
\frac{r_{2}}{k} W & X
\end{array}\right)\binom{P^{\prime}}{A^{\prime}}
$$

where $\mu, X, Y, Z, W$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu & =\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}  \tag{14}\\
X & =\mu \frac{k_{\beta_{2}}^{2}}{k^{2}}-2(\mu-1)  \tag{15}\\
W & =2(\mu-1)  \tag{16}\\
Z & =\mu \frac{k_{\beta_{2}}^{2}}{k^{2}}-\frac{k_{\beta_{1}}^{2}}{k^{2}}-2(\mu-1)  \tag{17}\\
Y & =\frac{k_{\beta_{1}}^{2}}{k^{2}}+2(\mu-1) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, (10), (11) are reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
-k \cosh r_{1} H & s_{1} \cosh s_{1} H \\
r_{1} \sinh r_{1} H & -k \sinh s_{1} H
\end{array}\right)\binom{P}{B} \\
& \quad+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-k \sinh r_{1} H & s_{1} \sinh s_{1} H \\
r_{1} \cosh r_{1} H & -k \cosh s_{1} H
\end{array}\right)\binom{Q}{A} \\
& =\binom{u_{H}^{*}}{w_{H}^{*}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

by using (1), (3), (4). Substituting (12) and (13) into (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.G_{z}\right|_{z=H} \cdot\binom{P^{\prime}}{A^{\prime}}=\frac{k_{\beta_{1}}^{2}}{k^{3}}\binom{u_{H}^{*}}{w_{H}^{*}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G_{z}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{1} & g_{2}  \tag{21}\\
g_{3} & g_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$


Figure 3: Amplitude distribution inside the fin-
ger in exploring a sinusoidal shape. $(\mathrm{A}=0.1[\mathrm{~mm}]$,
$\left.\mathrm{H}=1[\mathrm{~mm}], \lambda_{0}=\frac{2 \pi}{k_{0}}=1[\mathrm{~mm}]\right)$
2.4 The mapping $F$ is one-to-one Let us consider the boundary condition of a trav-
eling wave with velocity $v_{0}$, where $v_{0}<\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$. Then, the mapping $F$ in (26) holds for the next theorem. Theorem If $\mu=\frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}<1$ in the two-layered elastic half space, $F$ is a one-to-one mapping.
This theorem shows that the displacement inside a



$\mu_{1}=6 \times 10^{5}[\mathrm{~Pa}], \mu_{2}=2 \times 10^{5}[\mathrm{~Pa}]$, hence $\mu=\frac{1}{3}<1$.

 skin surface to the displacements of the mechanorepoduce the real finger surface displacement in order to generate the same mechanoreceptors displacements
 dition, $\mu<1$, is essential. When $\mu>1$, the exam-
ple that $F$ is many-to-one can be constructed[15]. It
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erefore, substituting (23) into (24), we obtain

$$
\binom{u_{z}^{*}}{w_{z}^{*}}=G_{z} \cdot G_{H}^{-1} \cdot\binom{u_{H}^{*}}{w_{H}^{*}} .
$$


(26)

## 

 velocity $v_{0}$. As a basic fourier component of the displacement of the finger surface at that time, we
take a sinusoidal $z$-directional displacement with the spatio-temporal frequency $\left(k_{0}, \omega_{0}\right)$, where $\omega_{0}=k_{0} v_{0}$.

 can obtain the finger in tactile exploration.(Fig.3)

## 3 The principle of Many-to-one VR

According to the theorem above, the displacement of the finger surface should be identical with the displacement in real exploration for tactile VR. Then, before the mapping $F$, the mapping $C$ from shapes of objects to displacements of the finger surface is considered next. $C$ is determined by physics of contact between the skin and the object. So in this section, we discuss the many-to-one property of $C$, and investigate a possibility to form the same displacement of the finger surface as in real exploration without generating the real shape of the object. (Fig. 1)

### 3.1 Amplitude modulated, almost sinusoidal traveling wave

Let us consider the superposition of traveling waves with spatio-temporal frequencies $\left(k_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$ and $\left(k_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)$. The shape of this wave is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cos \left(\omega_{1} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{x}\right)+\cos \left(\omega_{2} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{x}\right) \\
= & 2 \cos \left(\frac{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}{2}-\frac{\mathrm{k}_{1}-\mathrm{k}_{2}}{2}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}}{2}\right) . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

The first factor of (28) represents the envelope wave of the amplitude-modulated, almost sinusoidal traveling wave whose

- spatial frequency is $k_{m o d}=\frac{k_{1}-k_{2}}{2}$,
- temporal frequency

$$
\text { is } \quad \omega_{\bmod }=\frac{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}{2},
$$

$$
\text { is } \quad v_{g}=\frac{\omega_{m o d}}{k_{m o d}}=\frac{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}{k_{1}-k_{2}}
$$

- modulated velocity is $v_{g}=\frac{\omega_{\text {mod }}}{k_{\text {mod }}}=\frac{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}{k_{1}-k_{2}}$.

Now we consider touching the amplitude modulated traveling wave with the finger. If the skin detects the envelope of the wave, an arbitrary displacement on the finger surface can be produced because the frequencies $\left(k_{\text {mod }}, \omega_{\text {mod }}\right)$ is arbitrarily controllable by $\left(k_{1}, \omega_{1}\right),\left(k_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)$ as shown in (29). The advantage of this method is unnecessity to prepare all the objects with arbitrary shapes.

The following two points must be confirmed for this method.

- The skin detects the envelope of the amplitudemodulated traveling wave. In other words, $C$ has the many-to-one property. $\rightarrow$ Discussed in 3.2.
- Amplitude modulated waves whose frequencies $\left(k_{\text {mod }}, \omega_{\text {mod }}\right)$ are controllable can indeed be formed in some medium. $\quad \rightarrow$ Discussed in 3.3.


Figure 4: The many-to-one property of $C$

### 3.2 The non-lineality of the skin

Let us consider the skin model composed of a spring $(k)$, a mass $(m)$, and a damper $(\gamma)$. The essence of the contact is a one-directional transmission of the force; that is, the object can only push the skin inside. Thus, the movement of the skin is the damped oscillation when the vibrating object is removed from the skin. Therefore, the skin detects the envelope of the displacement of the object vibrating with an adequately high frequency. Indeed, according to [7],

- $\gamma=10^{3}$ (when the frequency is $\left.100[\mathrm{~Hz}]\right) \sim$ $5 \times 10^{3}($ when $1[\mathrm{kHz}])$ [dyne $\mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ]
- $\mathrm{m}=1\left[\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$
- $\frac{k}{m}=2 \pi \times 30 \sim 2 \pi \times 40[1 / \mathrm{s}]$.

$$
\Longrightarrow \frac{-\gamma+\sqrt{\gamma^{2}-4 m k}}{2 m} \simeq 50[1 / \mathrm{s}] \sim 10[\mathrm{~Hz}]
$$

Hence, the skin can detect the vibration with a frequency of more than $10[\mathrm{~Hz}]$.

### 3.3 The method to form amplitudemodulated traveling waves - using the elastic wave called 'Lamb wave'

We use Lamb waves to form amplitude-modulated traveling waves. The Lamb wave is a traveling wave in an infinite elastic plate. There exists infinite symmetric(longitudinal) and anti-symmetric(flexural) modes, whose dispersion curves are shown in Fig. 5.

To form the envelope wave with modulated velocity is $v_{0}$, a line is drawn with the gradient $v_{0}=\frac{\omega_{0}}{k_{0}}$ on the frequency plane. Let $\left(k_{1}, \omega_{1}\right),\left(k_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)$ be the frequencies determined by the intersecting points of the line
and the dispersion curves. Then the superposition of the two Lamb waves with frequencies $\left(k_{1}, \omega_{1}\right),\left(k_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)$ provides the envelope wave with modulated velocity $v_{0}$.

Especially for $v_{0}=0$, the immovable envelope is obtained by the two Lamb waves which have the same temporal frequencies.

An arbitrary wave number $k_{0}$ is obtained by the parallel movement of the line. For small $k_{0}$, we choose the intersecting points on the dispersion curves of two modes which approach the same asymptote at high frequency. For large $k_{0}$, the first and the higher modes are used.

From the above discussions, we obtain the principle of the tactile display using elastic waves as follows:

## The principle of the tactile display

To provide tactile sensations of exploring an object with the spatial frequency $k_{0}$ at the velocity $v_{0}$, we superpose two Lamb waves whose envelope wave has the spatial frequency $k_{0}$, and the modulated velocity $v_{0}$. This condition is fulfilled by generating two Lamb waves with spatio-temporal frequencies $\left(k_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$ , $\left(k_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)$ which satisfy

$$
k_{1}-k_{2}=2 k_{0}, \quad \omega_{1}-\omega_{2}=2 \omega_{0}
$$



Figure 5: The method to display the sensation in tactile exploration by amplitude-modulated Lamb waves. The same displacements of the finger surface are created by using either $R$ or $V_{1}+V_{2}$.

## 4 Experiments

### 4.1 Construction

Studies on the ultrasonic linear motor using elastic traveling waves provide clues to forming Lamb waves on the elastic plate.[14] Although the Lamb wave with a single frequency is vibrated on the metal plate (or stick) in the motor, many Lamb waves of different modes should be vibrated at the same time in the tactile display. Here, the first symmetric and the first anti-symmetric Lamb wave are used. The construction of the display is as follows:


Figure 6: The construction of the tactile display.
The voice coil perpendicular to the plate vibrates the first anti-symmetric Lamb wave (flexural wave), and the voice coil parallel to the plate vibrates the first symmetric Lamb wave (longitudinal wave). We vibrate the plate by the voice coil on one side of the plate, and absorb the vibration by the coil on the other side. When a impedance of the voice coil for absorbing vibrations is matched to a impedance of the plate, the traveling wave is created. Thus, the traveling wave toward any direction can be generated by changing the role of the voice coils in both sides. We use $8[\Omega], 50[\mathrm{~W}]$ woofers as voice coils.

### 4.2 Material

The main aim of the experimental device here is to confirm the generation of an amplitude-modulated Lamb wave. We use the low temporal frequency so as to obtain a large amplitude. However, the spatial frequency of the Lamb wave decreases with a lowering of the frequency of the vibration, which results in the decrease of spatial resolution of the tactile display. Thus, we use silicon rubber, which has a low Young's ratio, to keep the temporal frequency low, and the spatial
frequency high. The dispersion curves of this silicon rubber shows the possibility of creating a Lamb wave with the wave length in the order of a few centimeters, by the audio frequency.

### 4.3 Result

By vibrating longitudinal and flexural modes with $30[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ at the same time, we can obtain the amplitudemodulated Lamb wave, which is shown in Fig. 7. The phenomenon that the envelope wave progresses, that is, the modulated velocity is not equal to zero, is also confirmed.


Figure 7: Experiment- touching the envelope of the amplitude-modulated Lamb wave. The curve touched by the finger is the envelope wave. By controlling two frequencies of the voice coils, we can generate an envelope wave with an arbitrary wave length and modulated velocity.

### 4.4 Future work

The amplitude-modulated Lamb wave whose modulated velocity is controllable can be generated in our display. Now the frequencies are held at $30[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ to obtain a large amplitude, but next, we vibrate the plate at higher frequencies in order that human does not feel the vibration of the carrier wave. Higher temporal frequencies also provide higher spatial frequencies, which result in the higher spatial resolution.

To produce traveling waves at high frequencies, it is necessary to match the impedance of the voice coils and the plate more precisely.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, the phenomena inside a human finger in tactile exploration have been analyzed with a
two-layered, elastic half space model of the finger. We derived the expression of the mapping from the displacement of the finger surface to the displacement of the mechanoreceptors, and showed that it is one-toone owing to its characteristic structure - the upper layer of the finger being stiffer than the lower. Next, the mapping from the object shape to the displacement of the finger surface was considered, and shown to be many-to-one because of the mechanical character of the skin. Then we proposed the tactile display using the many-to-one property as follows: The amplitudemodulated traveling wave by the superposition of the first longtitudinal and the first flexural Lamb wave on the elastic plate produces its envelope shape on the finger surface, whose spatial frequency and moving velocity can be arbitrarily controlled. With the experimental device of silicon rubber vibrated by voice coils, we have confirmed the generation of an envelope wave. To control the wave number of the envelope wave arbitrarily, the addititonal study on vibrating the traveling wave of a single mode to a large degree of certainty is necessary.
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## Appendix - Proof of the theorem in 2.4

First, we represent $\operatorname{det} G_{z}$ as a function of $z$. The expression is obtained from $(21),(22)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det} G_{z}=g_{1} \cdot g_{4}-g_{2} \cdot g_{3} \\
& =2\left(-X Z+\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} Y W\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \cosh \left(r_{1}+s_{1}\right) z \cdot\left[\left(1-\frac{r_{1} s_{1}}{k^{2}}\right)\left(X^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} W^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1-\frac{k^{2}}{r_{1} s_{1}}\right)\left(Z^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} Y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \cosh \left(r_{1}-s_{1}\right) z \cdot\left[\left(1+\frac{r_{1} s_{1}}{k^{2}}\right)\left(X^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} W^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1+\frac{k^{2}}{r_{1} s_{1}}\right)\left(Z^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} Y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sinh \left(r_{1}+s_{1}\right) z \cdot\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}}{s_{1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{r_{1} s_{1}}{k^{2}}\right)(X Y-Z W) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sinh \left(r_{1}-s_{1}\right) z \cdot\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}-\frac{s_{2}}{s_{1}}\right)\left(1+\frac{r_{1} s_{1}}{k^{2}}\right)(X Y-Z W) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition of $F$ being one-to-one is $\operatorname{det} G_{z} \neq 0$. To prove $\operatorname{det} G_{z} \neq 0$, we show
i) $\operatorname{det} G_{z=0}>0$,
ii) $\operatorname{det} G$ is a monotone increasing function of $z$, as follows:
i) $\operatorname{det} G_{z=0}=\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{\alpha_{2}^{2}}} \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{\beta_{2}^{2}}}\right) \frac{k_{\beta_{1}}^{4}}{k^{4}}>0$
(because of the assumption; $v<\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ )
ii) $\frac{d}{d z} \operatorname{det} G_{z}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{1}+s_{1}\right) \sinh \left(r_{1}+s_{1}\right) z \\
& \cdot\left[\left(1-\frac{r_{1} s_{1}}{k^{2}}\right)\left(X^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} W^{2}\right)+\left(1-\frac{k^{2}}{r_{1} s_{1}}\right)\left(Z^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} Y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{1}-s_{1}\right) \sinh \left(r_{1}-s_{1}\right) z \\
& \cdot\left[\left(1+\frac{r_{1} s_{1}}{k^{2}}\right)\left(X^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} W^{2}\right)+\left(1+\frac{k^{2}}{r_{1} s_{1}}\right)\left(Z^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} Y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{1}+s_{1}\right) \cosh \left(r_{1}+s_{1}\right) z \cdot\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}}{s_{1}}\right)\left(1-\frac{r_{1} s_{1}}{k^{2}}\right)(X Y-Z W) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{1}-s_{1}\right) \cosh \left(r_{1}-s_{1}\right) z \cdot\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}-\frac{s_{2}}{s_{1}}\right)\left(1+\frac{r_{1} s_{1}}{k^{2}}\right)(X Y-Z W) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, from the assumption; $\alpha_{1}>\beta_{1}>v$,

$$
r_{1}-s_{1}=k\left(\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{\alpha_{1}^{2}}}-\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{\beta_{1}^{2}}}\right)>0
$$

Therefore, $\frac{d}{d z} \operatorname{det} G_{z}>0$, if the coefficients of the four terms in (30) are positive. As for the second term in (30), for example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdot X^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} W^{2} & >\left(1-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}}\right) W^{2} \\
& =\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{\alpha_{2}^{2}}} \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{\beta_{2}^{2}}}\right) W^{2}>0 \\
\cdot Z^{2}-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}} Y^{2} & >\left(1-\frac{r_{2} s_{2}}{k^{2}}\right) Y^{2} \\
& =\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{\alpha_{2}^{2}}} \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{\beta_{2}^{2}}}\right) Y^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\mu<1 \Longrightarrow|X|>|W|,|Z|>|Y|$. The other three coefficients are shown to be positive in the same way. (Q.E.D)

